Vortex sorter for Bose-Einstein condensates
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We have designed interferometers that sort Bose-Einstein condensates into their vortex components. The Bose-Einstein condensates in the two arms of the interferometer are rotated with respect to each other through fixed angles; different vortex components then exit the interferometer in different directions. The method we use to rotate the Bose-Einstein condensates involves asymmetric phase imprinting and is itself new. We have modeled rotation through fixed angles and sorting into vortex components with even and odd values of the topological charge of two-dimensional Bose-Einstein condensates in a number of states (pure or superposition vortex states for different values of the scattering length). Our scheme may have applications for quantum information processing.
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INTRODUCTION

One of the central characteristics of a superfluid such as a Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) is the presence of quantized vortices. Vortices have been generated experimentally [1,2] by stirring the BEC, very similar to the rotating-bucket experiment in helium [3]. The detection of vortices in BECs is typically made by a direct observation of the vortex core or by interference experiments [4]. In present experiments, the charge $\mathcal{m}$ of the vortex is, in principle, known as the initial rotation frequency that stirs the cloud is known. In this paper we show how to sort vortices when the charge is not known.

Vortices have attracted considerable interest both experimentally and theoretically, mainly because of their inherent many-body character and the connection to fluid dynamics. In addition, optical vortices in single photons have recently been used to carry information— and, in particular, quantum information [5]. Light is an excellent carrier of information over large distances, as the photons travel very fast and do not easily interact with each other. For the same reason, photons are not very well suited for storing the information for longer times. This is where atoms would be better suited as a medium for storing information, especially quantum information. The instability of vortex states within BECs in harmonic traps is a potential problem, but it might be overcome with ideas such as the use of pinning potentials [6,7]. Optical vortices, special cases of light with orbital angular momentum, can carry huge amounts of information as there is, in principle, no limit to the quantized angular momentum acting as the information carrier. Transferring this angular momentum to atoms would constitute a way to store the information [8]. If such a storage device is to work with atoms, we need a way to manipulate atomic states, and in particular vortex states, in an efficient and useful way. It is therefore important to know the mechanisms behind the vortex dynamics and more importantly how to manipulate the vortex states in order to be able to make any kind of readouts from the trapped quantum gas. In this paper we study theoretically the application of ideas borrowed from optical vortex sorting to BECs [9].

VORTEX SORTER

If a vortex (in light or in a BEC) of charge $m=1$ is rotated through $180^\circ$ about its center, it changes phase by $\pi$ (and, in the simplest case, is unchanged in any other respect). If, on the other hand, a vortex of charge $m=2$ is rotated through $180^\circ$, its phase is unchanged. The two cases discussed above are, in fact, representative for all vortices with odd and even charges, respectively. This effect has been used in an optical two-arm interferometer that rotates the beams in the two arms with respect to each other to route vortices according to their charge into one of the interferometer’s two exit ports [9]. When the arms are recombined, even-charge vortex components interfere constructively in one interferometer port and therefore exit the interferometer through it, while odd-charge vortex components interfere destructively in that port and therefore exit the interferometer through another port (in which even-charge vortices interfere destructively). The vortices exiting from the two ports can be sorted further in similar interferometers, but with different relative rotation angles [9]. For example, vortices with even charges ($m=0, \pm 2, \pm 4, \ldots$) can be sorted into those whose charges are respectively integer and half-integer multiples of 4 ($m \text{ mod } 4 = 0 \text{ or } m \text{ mod } 4 = 2$, respectively). In some cases, uniform phase offsets in one arm are required [10].

By using Bragg pulses, it is possible to coherently split and recombine a BEC [11], as would be required within a two-arm interferometer (Fig. 1), and using specially designed light pulses a BEC could be rotated through any given angle (see below). We numerically examine here a vortex sorter created by combing these two elements, as shown in Fig. 1.

Specifically, we model a two-dimensional BEC that is split into two identical BECs, which are then rotated with respect to each other through $180^\circ$, and finally superposed. The wave function of the original BEC, $\Psi$, is split according to

$$\Psi_1 = \Psi_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Psi;$$

the detailed physical splitting mechanism is not modeled.

The two BECs are rotated with respect to each other through
mirroring the two BECs with respect to the $x$ and $y$ axis, respectively. As explained below, this can be achieved through imprinting specific phases onto the BECs at specific times. In combination with the time evolution between the phase-imprinting events, this results in wave functions $\Psi'_1$ and $\Psi'_2$. We simulate this time evolution according to the time-dependent Gross-Pitaevskii equation [12], which we write in the form [13]

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = \left( -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_a} \nabla^2 + \frac{1}{2}m_a \omega^2 r^2 + g|\Psi|^2 \right) \Psi, \quad (2)$$

where $m_a$ is the mass of each atom, $\omega$ is the trap frequency, and $g$ is the nonlinear coefficient, given by

$$g = 4\pi N \frac{a \hbar^2}{d m_a}. \quad (3)$$

Here, $d$ is the effective thickness of the BEC in the $z$ direction and $N$ is the number of atoms. The motion can be considered two dimensional if the chemical potential $\mu$ of the trapped cloud is smaller than the corresponding trapping energy $\hbar \omega$, in the $z$ direction. Finally, again without modeling the detailed mechanism, the two wave functions $\Psi'_1$ and $\Psi'_2$ are superposed according to the equations

$$\Psi_{\text{even}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\Psi'_1 + \Psi'_2), \quad \Psi_{\text{odd}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\Psi'_1 - \Psi'_2). \quad (4)$$

This model can represent various interferometers, all of which are idealized in some respects. For example, a Bragg-pulse interferometer with rotation in the arms (Fig. 1), is idealized as follows. First, the Bragg pulses are assumed to be perfect, that is acting according to Eqs. (1) and (4), which describe perfect $\pi/2$ pulses with the exception that the two states $\Psi'_1$ and $\Psi'_2$ have different momenta. The $\pi$ pulses, which are also required in the Bragg-pulse-interferometer scheme, and which swap the BECs between the two states, are also assumed to be perfect (which is consistent with experiments in which fringe visibilities close to 1 were achieved in Bragg-pulse interferometers [14]). Second, the interaction between the BECs in the different arms is neglected. To the best of our knowledge, no experimentally realizable situation is exactly represented by this, but some are represented better than others. A Bragg-pulse direction that separates the planes of the two BECs, for example, should lead to less interaction between the BECs than a Bragg-pulse direction that moves the two planes across each other; however, applying the light pulses for rotation to the two arms separately is potentially difficult in this geometry. Third, the arm length is just that required for rotation; we made no allowance for any additional time it might take for the BECs to separate sufficiently such that they can be rotated independently and subsequently be recombined. However, in analogy to optical imaging, lens light pulses [15] might be able to return the BEC into an earlier state, thereby effectively shortening the arms. Other interferometer types that approximate our idealized model include, for example, those that split a BEC into two by putting different parts into different internal states [4] and manipulate the parts independently through phase imprinting with light pulses with different detunings.

Figure 2 shows examples of sorting a BEC into its “even” and “odd” vortex components. In all our simulations, the initial wave functions were two-dimensional Laguerre-Gaussian (LG) functions of the form

$$\Psi(\rho, \phi) \propto \rho^m e^{-\rho^2/2} e^{im\phi}, \quad (5)$$

with the exception of the last example in Fig. 2, which used a superposition of two such functions. LG functions are commonly used in optics (see, for example, Eq. (3) in [16] with $p=0$ and $z=0$). All the simulations in this paper were performed over an area of $14 \times 14$ (in units of $\sqrt{\hbar/m_a \omega}$) on a $256 \times 256$ grid of wave-function amplitudes. Figure 3 shows the fraction of the original BEC that exits the interferometer in the correct port—a measure of the quality of the sorting—as a function of the nonlinear coefficient $g$. It can be seen that the scheme works better for small values of $g$.

**ROTATION OF BECs**

Several methods exist for setting a BEC into rotation, using, for example, Bragg pulses [11,17], a careful arrangement of laser beams [18,19], or an external magnetic field [20]. These methods were mainly aimed at rotating the BEC above a certain frequency to create vortex states. For our purposes, we require a method that can rotate the BEC through a given angle without otherwise affecting its state. We believe some of the methods for inducing rotational motion could be adapted for this purpose. However, instead we describe here a method based on an optical analogy [21].

Our method is based on the fact that mirroring at one axis (or plane in three dimensions), followed by mirroring at another axis, which is rotated with respect to the first axis by an angle $\alpha$, is equivalent to a rotation through an angle $2\alpha$ about the intersection between the two axes. In analogy to the mirroring of a light beam, which can be achieved with a pair of identical cylindrical lenses parallel to the mirror axis, each of
focal length $f$, which are separated by $2f$ (such a configuration is called a $\pi$ mode converter [21]), a BEC can be mirrored by a pair of correctly separated cylindrical-lens pulses. These are far off-resonant light pulses with a transverse intensity distribution that is proportional to the thickness of the corresponding optical cylindrical lenses. That is, the intensity falls off quadratically in one direction and is constant in the other. The effect of each cylindrical-lens pulse is a phase change proportional to the local intensity [22,23]: the cylindrical-lens pulses act like phase holograms of cylindrical lenses [15]. The phase change due to each lens pulse is $r^2/(4tf)$, where $r$ is the distance from the axis of the cylindrical-lens pulse and $t_f$ is its focal time (the equivalent of the focal length in optical lenses). In this paper we use $t_f=0.03$ (in units of $1/\omega_0$), which is one of the smallest focal times that satisfies the Nyquist criterion for our model, and a time of $t_f=0.06$ between the lens pulses. Figure 4 illustrates modeled examples of rotation of BECs through 180°.

This scheme does not work perfectly, not even in optics: a light beam (and, by analogy, a BEC with $g=0$) is mirrored perfectly only in the limit of cylindrical lenses with infinitely short focal lengths [21]. Obviously, this is not possible, and focal length $f$, which are separated by $2f$ (such a configuration is called a $\pi$ mode converter [21]), a BEC can be mirrored by a pair of correctly separated cylindrical-lens pulses. These are far off-resonant light pulses with a transverse intensity distribution that is proportional to the thickness of the corresponding optical cylindrical lenses. That is, the intensity falls off quadratically in one direction and is constant in the other. The effect of each cylindrical-lens pulse is a phase change proportional to the local intensity [22,23]: the cylindrical-lens pulses act like phase holograms of cylindrical lenses [15]. The phase change due to each lens pulse is $r^2/(4tf)$, where $r$ is the distance from the axis of the cylindrical-lens pulse and $t_f$ is its focal time (the equivalent of the focal length in optical lenses). In this paper we use $t_f=0.03$ (in units of $1/\omega_0$), which is one of the smallest focal times that satisfies the Nyquist criterion for our model, and a time of $t_f=0.06$ between the lens pulses. Figure 4 illustrates modeled examples of rotation of BECs through 180°.

This scheme does not work perfectly, not even in optics: a light beam (and, by analogy, a BEC with $g=0$) is mirrored perfectly only in the limit of cylindrical lenses with infinitely short focal lengths [21]. Obviously, this is not possible, and
the result is imperfect mirroring that leads to asymmetry and vortex splitting. Another problem when using cylindrical-lens pulses to mirror BECs with \( g \neq 0 \) is that the BEC can intermittently become focused into a line, which greatly amplifies the nonlinear effects, which in turn usually lowers the quality of the mirroring.

In the context of the vortex-sorting interferometer, we are interested in differential rotation between the BECs in the two arms of the Bragg-pulse interferometer. A better way of achieving such differential rotation is to apply the first two of the four rotation pulses shown in Fig. 4 to the BEC in one arm, and the other two to the BEC in the other arm; both BECs are mirrored, but with respect to different axes. As demonstrated above, we find that—in the spirit of spreading BECs are mirrored, but with respect to different axes. As demonstrated above, we find that—in the spirit of spreading imperfections symmetrically and hoping for cancelation—this leads to good results for \( g \approx 50 \) for small values of \( |m| \).

**CONCLUSIONS**

In this paper we have investigated the sorting of vortices in BECs using an interferometric technique. Our technique requires the BEC to be rotated, which we achieve with spatially inhomogeneous imprinted phases. If the nonlinearity is strong, the efficiency of the rotation, and therefore the efficiency of the sorting process, are decreased, but with existing experimental techniques such as exciting Feshbach resonances, it should be possible to “tune” the nonlinear coefficient \( g \) to a value suitable for reliable vortex sorting.

The techniques presented here are based on methods used in conventional optics. When these methods are transferred to BECs, complications arise, but also some intriguing new possibilities. In particular, nonlinearity—the origin of most complications—is important whenever information is not only to be stored, but also to be processed in computations.
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