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We present a multi-modal dialogue system for interactive learning of perceptually grounded word meanings from a human tutor (Yu et al., ). The system integrates an incremental, semantic, and bi-directional grammar framework – Dynamic Syntax and Type Theory with Records (DS-TTR\(^1\), (Eshghi et al., 2012; Kempson et al., 2001)) – with a set of visual classifiers that are learned throughout the interaction and which ground the semantic/contextual representations that it produces (c.f. Kennington & Schlangen (2015) where words, rather than semantic atoms, are grounded in visual classifiers). Our approach extends Dobnik et al. (2012) in integrating perception (vision in this case) and language within a single formal system: Type Theory with Records (TTR (Cooper, 2005)). The combination of deep semantic representations in TTR with an incremental grammar (Dynamic Syntax) allows for complex multi-turn dialogues to be parsed and generated (Eshghi et al., 2015). These include clarification interaction, corrections, ellipsis and utterance continuations (see e.g. the dialogue in Fig. 1).

**Architecture:** the system is made up of two key components – a **Vision system** and the **DS-TTR parser/generator**. The Vision system classifies a (visual) situation, i.e. deems it to be of a particular type, expressed as a TTR Record Type (RT) (see Fig. 1). This is done by deploying a set of binary attribute classifiers (Logistic Regression SVMs with Stochastic Gradient Descent, see Yu et al. (2015)) which ground the simple types (atoms) in the system (e.g. ‘red’, ‘square’), and composing their output to construct the more complex, total type of the visual scene. This representation then acts not only as (1) the non-linguistic context of the dialogue for DS-TTR, for the resolution of e.g. definite references and indexicals (see Hough & Purver (2014)); but also (2) the logical database from which answers to questions about the objects’ attributes are generated. Questions are parsed and their logical representation acts directly as a query on the non-linguistic/visual context to retrieve an answer (via type checking in TTR, itself done via unification, see Fig. 1 for a simple example). Conversely, the system can generate questions to the tutor about the attributes of objects based on the entropy of the classifiers that ground the semantic concepts, e.g. those for colour and shape. The tutor’s answer then acts as a training instance for the classifiers (basic, atomic types) involved - see Fig. 1 for a snapshot of the current system.

**Incremental Generation in Context:** Generation (surface realisation) in DS-TTR follows exactly the same dynamics as parsing except for an additional subsumption check after every word against some goal context/instance (Purver et al., 2014). Generation is therefore just as incremental and contextual as parsing (Eshghi et al., 2015). This allows for the generation of acceptances, elliptical utterances, short answers, and corrections, as well as continuations. Here, it is the dialogue manager that constructs the goal concept from the semantic analysis of the visual scene, and sends it the grammar for surface realisation – whether this is the semantics of a question, an answer, or an object description (see the system responses in Fig. 1).
We will show an interactive demonstration of this system at the conference, illustrating how questions, answers and object descriptions are derived and generated incrementally in real-time (Yu et al., ). Work in progress addresses: (1) more complex dialogues; (2) data-driven, incremental dialogue management at the lexical level; (3) integrating the existing DS-TTR model of incremental definite reference generation within the implemented system.
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