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We report on the highly efficient generation of widely tunable femtosecond pulses based on intracavity second harmonic generation (SHG) and sum frequency generation (SFG) in a MgO-doped periodically poled LiNbO₃ optical parametric oscillator (OPO), which is pumped by a Yb-doped large-mode-area photonics crystal fiber femtosecond laser. Red and near infrared from intracavity SHG and SFG and infrared signals were directly obtained from the OPO. A 2 mm BaB₂O₄ is applied for Type I (o → e) intracavity SHG and SFG, and then femtosecond laser pulses over 610 nm ~ 680 nm from SFG and 716 nm ~ 970 nm from SHG are obtained with high efficiency. In addition, the oscillator simultaneously generates signal and idler femtosecond pulses over 1450 nm ~ 2290 nm and 2250 nm ~ 4000 nm, respectively. © 2013 Optical Society of America
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Femtosecond laser sources in visible, infrared, and mid-infrared ranges are of great importance in many applications including biophotonics, optical microscopy, and time-resolved spectroscopy [1,2]. Optical parametric oscillators (OPOs) or amplifiers (OPAs) pumped by femtosecond Ti:sapphire lasers have been the preferred source in the past for this range [3,4]. The first demonstration of a high-repetition-rate, femtosecond OPOs based on periodically poled LiNbO₃ (PPLN) pumped by a femtosecond Ti:sapphire laser is reported by Burr et al. [5]. Theoretical and experimental work on OPO with intracavity second harmonic generation (SHG) and sum frequency generation (SFG) was reported by Koch et al. [6] in detail. Nowadays, the rapid progress in ultrafast fiber lasers leads to the development of a new generation of compact and robust femtosecond laser sources and inspires the development of fiber-based OPOs [7]. An OPO pumped by a fiber laser, which has a high average power, efficiency, and environmental stability, is suitable to generate tunable and powerful ultrashort pulses. The first Yb-fiber-laser pumped OPO offering substantial power was reported by Lamour et al. [8], producing the highest pulse energy (72 nJ) obtained from a free-space resonator design. Recently, a Yb-fiber-laser-pumped, picosecond parametric oscillator, together with intracavity SHG tunable across 752 nm ~ 860 nm, was reported [9]. A femtosecond OPO based on LiB₂O₅ pumped by a frequency-doubled Yb-fiber amplifier at 525 nm provided the signal tuning over 780 nm ~ 940 nm with more than 250 mW and idler tuning over 1190 ~ 1630 nm with more than 300 mW [10]. These ultrashort OPOs pumped by high-power ultrafast fiber lasers near 1 μm with intracavity frequency doubling and mixing, are able to provide the spectral range as that of Ti:sapphire lasers but are more robust and economical, with higher output power [8–10]. As a result, extending the tunable wavelength range of a fiber laser-based OPO is an important and interesting topic for this technology.

In this Letter, we demonstrate the highly efficient generation of a widely tunable femtosecond pulses, red and near-infrared, from intracavity SHG and SFG in BaB₂O₄ (BBO) of an OPO, based on a 5% MgO-doped PPLN (MgO:PPLN) and infrared signal, and idler pulses directly obtained from an OPO. Owing to the high nonlinear gain in both PPLN and BBO, we achieve an average power of 470 mW at 23.5% conversion efficiency tuning across 716 nm ~ 970 nm for SHG, and 372 mW at 18.6% conversion efficiency tuning across 610 nm ~ 668 nm for SFG. Moreover, we obtain an average power of 694 mW average power for a 4.1 W pump, representing a 16.9% conversion efficiency for maximum SFG output at 615 nm. The SFG and SHG act as a signal output loss caused by the nonlinear process with hardly any affect on the stability of the original OPO.

The configuration of the femtosecond OPO is shown in Fig. 1. A homemade high-power Yb large-mode-area photonics crystal fiber (PCF) femtosecond laser operating at a repetition rate of 51 MHz is used as the pump source. Its central wavelength is 1040 nm with an FWHM of 3 nm with more than 970 mW, was reported
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Fig. 1. Experimental setup of intracavity SHG and SFG in MgO:PPLN OPO. M1–M6, mirrors; L1–L3, lenses; HWP, half-wave plate; PBS, polarizing beam-splitter; BS, beam-splitter.
of 40 nm, and pulse duration of 70 fs. The nonlinear crystal for the OPO is a 1 mm long, 8.5 mm wide, 5% MgO: PPLN. In the experiment, the crystal is housed in an oven maintained at 80°C in order to avoid the photorefractive effect. The crystal contains seven gratings with periods ranging from 28.5 to 31.5 μm, in steps of 0.5 μm.

The OPO cavity is a bifocal ring, comprised of four concave and two plane mirrors. In order to enhance the intracavity nonlinear efficiency, the OPO has no output when operating for intracavity SHG and SFG. Gold-coated concave mirrors M1 and M2, with r = 150 mm, provide the focus for the OPO crystal, whereas mirrors M5 and M6, with r = 100 mm, allow focusing into the SHG or SFG crystal. M5 is an SHG or SFG output mirror, which is 99% reflective over 1400 nm ~ 2100 nm and 95% transmissive over 600 nm ~ 1000 nm, SHG or SFG is output through M5 and collimated by lens L3, M3, and M4 are dichroic pump mirrors, which are 99% reflective over 1400 nm ~ 2100 nm, 95% transmissive for the pump wavelength at 1.04 μm and highly transmissive for at idler wavelength. The crystal faces are AR-coated over 1000 nm ~ 1100 nm (R < 1%) and 1420 nm ~ 2000 nm (R < 1.5%). The crystal length is chosen to be 1 mm considering group-velocity mismatch (GVM). A BBO crystal is used for the SHG or SFG because of the weak dependence of the phase matching angle on the wavelength and small GVM in the near infrared region [1].

In order to characterize the OPO’s spectral coverage, we tune the cavity length of the OPO with seven grating periods of the PPLN crystal. First, we obtain the signal by inserting a BS (beam splitter) as the output coupler. The signal and idler output powers of the OPO for different wavelengths are shown in Fig. 2(a). The maximum signal output power is 374 mW at 1502 nm corresponding to an 18.7% conversion efficiency, and the autocorrelation duration is 202 fs [Fig. 2(b)], corresponding to a 144 fs duration. The calculated transform-limit duration is about 90 fs. The duration is larger than the pump laser, mainly because of the GVM in the PPLN crystal. The idler power is measured at the back of M3. The spectra of the signal and idler pulses are shown in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d), respectively. The signal and idler spectra are continuously tuned from 1450 to 2200 nm and 2250 to 4000 nm, respectively, by changing the PPLN grating and the cavity length. The spectrum is noisy at 2200 nm, which is due to the instability of the OPO at degeneracy [2].

For the SHG and SFG processes, the BS output coupler is removed to enhance the intracavity power. The second harmonic (SH) signal is obtained simply by inserting the BBO crystal in the cavity. The BBO crystal is 2 mm thick, cut at θ = 23.2° for type I interaction. The crystal faces are AR-coated centered at 1.06 μm (R < 1%). The SH of the signal is obtained simply by adjusting the cavity length and crystal angle. The tuning range of the SHG is 716–970 nm as shown in Fig. 3(a), and the wavelength limitation is mainly due to the reflection bandwidth of the mirrors. Figure 3(b) shows the dependence of SHG power on the wavelength at a fixed pump power of 2 W. The maximum output power is 470 mW with a 165 fs pulse duration [inset of Fig. 3(b)] and 12 nm spectrum width at 757 nm, corresponding to a time-bandwidth product of ∆νΔτ ~ 1. The net conversion efficiency is 23.5% for SH and the total efficiency including the idler is 35.2%. The SH power is above 200 mW over 716–850 nm, and above 100 mW at 970 nm.

In the case of SFG, an additional pump beam is used to overlap the intracavity signal beam instead of the residual pump light as before [3]. In order to get maximum SFG output, a half-wave plate (HWP) and a polarizing beam-splitter (PBS) are used to distribute the pump power for the OPO and SFG, and a second HWP is used to control the pump polarization for SFG phase-matching (Fig. 1). The additional pump beam is mode-matched with the oscillating signal beam by L1 (f = 200 mm) and L2 (f = 100 mm). In the time domain, the pump pulses are synchronized with the oscillating signal pulses in the crystal by adjusting the delay line.

The alignment of the SFG is performed from the SHG configuration simply by rotating the BBO a few degrees. The pump beam for SFG is adjusted to coincide with the signal beam line, and the SFG output is easily observed by scanning the delay line. At the optimum angle of SFG, only a few milliwatt of SH output remains. In a previous report [2], residual pump light from the OPO is used for intracavity SFG, and so the pump light is depleted in both parametric and SFG crystals. The output behavior of the OPO becomes complicated

---

**Fig. 2.** (a) Output powers of the signal and idler, (b) autocorrelation of signal at 1514 nm, (c) spectrum tuning of the signal from 1450 to 2200 nm, and (d) spectrum tuning of the idler from 2250 to 4000 nm.

**Fig. 3.** (a) Spectrum tuning of the intracavity SHG from 716 to 970 nm and (b) dependence of output power of intracavity SHG on wavelength. Inset: autocorrelation of SHG signal at 757 nm.
because of its dependence on the degree of pump light
depletion in both crystals. Using an additional pump light
makes the behavior of the OPO simple and stable, and it
is easy to obtain an optimum SFG. The distribution ratio
of the OPO is a key parameter of the SFG power. If the
ratio of the OPO pump light is too small, the intracavity
signal power will be too low or unable to maintain the
oscillation. If the ratio of the SFG pump light power is
too small, the nonlinear interaction of the fundamental
and signal will also be inefficient. So, it has an optimum
value for the maximum output power of SFG. Figure 4(a)
shows the dependence of the SFG output power on the
distribution at a fixed total pump power of 2 W. The OPO
starts oscillating at 868 mW, which is much higher than
the threshold (287 mW) without the SFG pump in. This is
because the SFG increases the loss of the cavity. So, the
SFG power rises with the increase of OPO pump power,
and reaches a maximum output of 372 mW corresponding
to an 18.6% conversion efficiency at an OPO pump power
of 2 W. With further increases in the OPO pump power,
the SFG power decreases. Figure 4(b) shows the dependence of the SFG power on the SFG pump power at
a fixed OPO pump power of 2 W. Initially, the SFG power grows with the SFG pump power linearly, and then tends
to be saturated. The maximum SFG power is 694 mW at
a SFG pump power of 2.1 W, corresponding to a 16.9%
conversion efficiency. It is because the increase of the
SFG power indicates more intracavity signal depletion,
and the SFG power stops increasing when it reaches a
balance. The tuning property of the SFG is also recorded
by tuning the cavity length of the OPO with seven grating
periods of the PPLN crystal. For optimum SFG output
power, a slight phase-matching angle rotation of the
BBO is also performed. Figure 4(c) shows the SFG power
at a fixed OPO pump power of 2 W and an SFG pump
power of 1 W, the maximum output power being
545 mW corresponding to an 18.1% conversion efficiency.
Figure 4(d) shows the spectrum tuning coverage from
610 to 668 nm continuously. An abrupt drop by a factor
of 2 for the SFG intensity at wavelengths around 640 nm
is mainly caused by the decreasing signal intensity due
to the increasing GVM between the signal and pump,
which reduce the intracavity signal power and increase
the duration of signal, which both reduces the intensity
of the signal. The temporal walk-off between the signal
and SFG in the 2 mm BBO is about 230 fs, and the tem-
poral walk-off between the pump and signal is 30 fs,
which is relatively small, and so the duration of the SFG
is estimated to be about 300 fs.

In summary, we have demonstrated a femtosecond
source tunable across 610–668 nm and 716–970 nm
based on intracavity SHG and SFG in a MgO:PPLN OPO,
together with signal and idler tuning in the near- and
mid-infrared regions. We have obtained 470 mW of power
for a 2 W Yb:fiber laser pump with a 23.5% conversion
efficiency for SHG and 372 mW with a 18.6% conversion
efficiency for SFG. Future work will be focused on in-
creasing the output power and extending the operating
wavelength of fiber femtosecond laser based OPOs. A
double pumped OPO setup and an additional SHG proc-
ess can be applied to obtain the high average power tun-
able ultraviolet femtosecond pulse. It can be expected
that these techniques will lead to a feasible scenario in
many applications.
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