Optical Simulation of Neutrino Oscillations in Binary Waveguide Arrays
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We theoretically propose and investigate an optical analogue of neutrino oscillations in a pair of vertically displaced binary waveguide arrays with longitudinally modulated effective refractive index. Optical propagation is modeled through coupled-mode equations, which in the continuous limit converge to two coupled Dirac equations for fermionic particles with different mass states, analogously to neutrinos. In addition to simulating neutrino oscillation in the noninteracting regime, our optical setting enables us to explore neutrino interactions in extreme regimes that are expected to play an important role in massive supernova stars. In particular, we predict the quenching of neutrino oscillations and the existence of topological defects, i.e., neutrino solitons, which in our photonic simulator should be observable as excitation of optical gap solitons propagating along the binary arrays at high excitation intensities.
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Introduction.—Optical waveguide arrays (WAs) allow for the optical simulation of nonrelativistic dynamics of quantum particles [1–3]. They constitute a useful classical laboratory for mimicking quantum effects and can be exploited to analyze fundamental quantum mechanisms with classical tools, such as Bloch oscillations [4], Zener tunnelling [5,6], dynamical localization [7], and Anderson localization in disordered lattices [8]. In addition, relativistic phenomena of quantum field theory can be optically simulated in binary waveguide arrays (BWAs), as optical propagation in the continuous limit is governed by a nonrelativistic Dirac equation [9–12]. Thus, BWAs can be engineered in order to observe diverse relativistic effects, e.g., Zitterbewegung [10], Klein tunnelling [9,13], fermion pair production [14], and solitons [15]. Spontaneous symmetry breaking induced by tachyon condensation can be simulated in amplifying plasmonic arrays [16], where optical propagation in the continuous limit satisfies a Dirac-like equation for particles with imaginary mass, i.e., tachyons. WAs aimed to simulate other quantum field theoretical models and nonphysical particles, such as Majorana physics, have been suggested as well [17,18]. Besides optical WAs, quantum simulations in trapped ion systems have provided spectacular results in this context [19–22].

An important mechanism arising in particle physics is represented by neutrino oscillation [23], a quantum mechanical effect whereby a neutrino created with a specific lepton flavor can later be measured with a different flavor. Neutrino oscillations occur both in vacuum and in matter, as the probability of measuring a neutrino with a specific flavor periodically oscillates during the propagation [24–26]. In recent years, theoretical and experimental investigations of neutrino oscillations have received considerable interest, as the observation of this phenomenon implies that neutrinos have small but finite masses. While in the standard model of particle physics the mass of charged fermions results from the interactions with the Higgs field, the origin of the neutrino masses has not hitherto been answered conclusively. Neutrino oscillations ensue from a mixture between the flavor and mass eigenstates, since the three neutrino states weakly interact with charged leptons are superpositions of three states with definite mass.

The enormous neutrino fluxes emitted by supernovae are key to the explosion dynamics and nucleosynthesis [27] and detecting a high statistics of neutrinos from the next nearby supernova explosion is a major aim of neutrino astronomy. In the early Universe and in collapsing supernova stars, the density of neutrinos is so large that they strongly interact with each other, many body interactions become important and neutrino oscillations feedback on themselves [28–31]. In turn, peculiar effects like coherence in collective neutrino oscillations and suppression of self-induced flavor conversion occur in supernova explosions [32,33]. Owing to the experimental difficulty of investigating neutrino oscillations in these extreme conditions, quantum simulation with trapped ions has been recently proposed [34].

In this Letter we theoretically investigate optical propagation in a pair of vertically displaced binary waveguide arrays with longitudinally modulated effective index. In the fast modulation and continuous limit, light dynamics is described by two coupled nonlinear Dirac equations for fermionic particles with different mass states, analogously to the equations governing the evolution of neutrinos in matter [26]. In the linear limit where nonlinear effects are negligible, our optical setup allows for the simulation
of neutrino oscillations, thoroughly reproducing the Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata (PMNS) matrix formulation and the matter mixing matrix [35,36]. In the nonlinear regime, physically accessible at high matter densities, e.g., in the early Universe and in supernova stars, we predict the quenching of neutrino oscillations and the existence of topological defects, i.e., neutrino solitons. The proposed tabletop optical system simulating neutrino physics constitutes a useful classical laboratory for predicting exotic effects and extreme regimes in neutrino astrophysics that are still out of any experimental demonstration.

Model.—In the following we consider a pair of vertically displaced BWAs with longitudinally modulated effective refractive index, sketched in Fig. 1. The index modulation is assumed small and sinusoidal, and can be achieved by longitudinally modulating the core index or the transverse waveguide section (see, for instance, Ref. [37]). We indicate by $A_n, B_n$ the field amplitudes of the linear fundamental modes of the upper and lower waveguides [see Fig. 1(a)], which are weakly affected by the longitudinal modulation of the effective index. Typically, we assume that BWAs are manufactured in silica glass. Taking into account Kerr nonlinearity, nearest-neighbour evanescent coupling and longitudinal modulation of the effective index, optical propagation is described by the set of coupled-mode equations (CMEs)

$$i \frac{dA_n}{dz} = V_n(z) A_n - \kappa_H (A_{n+1} + A_{n-1}) - \kappa_V B_n - \gamma |A_n|^2 A_n,$$

$$i \frac{dB_n}{dz} = W_n(z) B_n - \kappa_H (B_{n+1} + B_{n-1}) - \kappa_V A_n - \gamma |B_n|^2 B_n,$$

where $n$ is an integer labeling the waveguide site [see Fig. 1(b)], $\kappa_H, \kappa_V$ are the horizontal and vertical coupling coefficients between neighbouring waveguides [see Fig. 1(b)], $\gamma$ is the Kerr nonlinear coefficient, $V_n(z) = (-1)^n m_n + \Delta V_n(z)$, $W_n(z) = (-1)^n m_n + \Delta W_n(z)$, $m_n$ ($m_b$) account for the alternating initial offsets of the upper (lower) BWAs, and $\Delta V_n(z), \Delta W_n(z)$ describe the longitudinal modulation of propagation constants of the upper and lower waveguides. For a sinusoidal index modulation, we may write $\Delta V_n(z) = A_1 \cos(\omega z)$, $\Delta W_n(z) = A_3 \cos(\omega z)$ (n even), $\Delta V_n(z) = A_2 \cos(\omega z)$, $\Delta W_n(z) = A_4 \cos(\omega z)$ (n odd). After setting $A_n(z) = a_n(z) \exp \{ -i \int_0^z \Delta V_n(z') dz' \}$, $B_n(z) = b_n(z) \exp \{ -i \int_0^z \Delta W_n(z') dz' \}$, in the fast modulation limit $\omega \gg \kappa_H, \kappa_V, |m_n|, |b_n|$, at leading order we can average Eqs. (1) and (2) and disregard the rapidly oscillating terms [38]. This yields

$$i \frac{da_n}{dz} = -\kappa (a_{n+1} + a_{n-1}) + (-1)^n m_a a_n + (-1)^n e b_n - \gamma |a_n|^2 a_n,$$

$$i \frac{db_n}{dz} = -\kappa (b_{n+1} + b_{n-1}) - (-1)^n m_b b_n + (-1)^n e a_n - \gamma |b_n|^2 b_n,$$

where $\kappa = \kappa_H J_0((A_2 - A_1)/\omega) = \kappa_H J_0((A_4 - A_3)/\omega)$, $e = \kappa_V J_0((A_3 - A_1)/\omega) = -\kappa_V J_0((A_4 - A_2)/\omega)$ are the effective averaged coupling constants between adjacent waveguides in the horizontal and vertical directions, respectively, and $J_0(x)$ is the zeroth-order Bessel function of the first kind. To obtain Eqs. (3) and (4), we have assumed that the modulation amplitudes $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4$ satisfy the constraints $J_0((A_2 - A_1)/\omega) = J_0((A_4 - A_3)/\omega)$, $J_0(A_3 - A_1)/\omega) = -J_0((A_4 - A_2)/\omega)$, which are readily fulfilled by taking $A_1 = 0$, $A_2 = (\omega/2)(\xi_1 - \xi_2)$, $A_3 = a \xi_1$, $A_4 = (\omega/2)(\xi_1 + \xi_2)$, where $\xi_1$, $\xi_2$ are two arbitrary dummy variables with $J_0(\xi_1) = -J_0(\xi_2)$. For instance, one can take $\xi_1 = 1.6965$, $\xi_2 = 3.7152$ at which $J_0(\xi_1) = -J_0(\xi_2) = 0.4$, so that one obtains $\kappa = \kappa_H J_0(\xi_1/2 - \xi_2/2) = 0.761 \kappa_H$, $e = \kappa_V J_0(\xi_1) = 0.4 \kappa_V$. Linear modes of Eqs. (3) and (4) can be calculated by setting $\gamma = 0$ and by taking the ansatz $a_{2n} = a_1 e^{i \beta z + i q n}$, $a_{2n+1} = a_2 e^{i \beta z + i q n}$, $b_{2n} = b_1 e^{i \beta z + i q n}$, $b_{2n+1} = b_2 e^{i \beta z + i q n}$, where $q$ is the transverse quasi-momentum in the $x$ direction. After substitution of the ansatz above in Eqs. (3) and (4), one obtains the modes and the linear dispersion relation of the structure, which is depicted in Fig. 2(a). Note that our structure, consisting of a pair of vertically displaced BWAs, supports four linear modes $M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4$, characterized by four dispersion bands [see Fig. 2(a)].

Dirac limit: neutrinos.—Defining the two-component spinors $A = (A_A A_B)^T = (-1)^n(a_{2n}, i a_{2n-1})^T$ and $B = (B_1 B_2)^T = (-1)^n(i b_{2n-1}, b_{2n})^T$, if the amplitudes $A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2$ vary slowly with the site index $n$, one can take
the continuous limit by introducing the continuous spatial coordinate $n \rightarrow x$ [9]. In this limit, the spinors satisfy two coupled $(1+1)$D nonlinear Dirac equations for half-spin particles with two different mass eigenstates, i.e., neutrinos:

$$i\partial_t A = -i\kappa \sigma_z A + m_a \sigma_\tau A + i\epsilon \sigma_y B - \gamma G(A),$$  

$$i\partial_t B = -i\kappa \sigma_y A + m_b \sigma_\tau B - i\epsilon \sigma_z A - \gamma G(B),$$

where $G(\psi) = (|\psi_1|^2, |\psi_2|^2, \langle \psi_1 | \psi_2 \rangle)\text{T}$ is the nonlinear spinorial term and $\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z$ are the x, y, z Pauli matrices. In the Dirac limit, the array alternating offsets $m_a, m_b$ play the role of the neutrino masses, while the coupling coefficients $\kappa, \epsilon$ play the role of the speed of light in vacuum and of the charged-current electroweak interactions. Remarkably, the linear parts of Eqs. (5) and (6) are identical to models routinely used in particle physics for describing neutrino oscillations in matter [26]. Nonlinear terms are usually disregarded as neutrinos interact weakly in standard conditions of matter densities. However, recent studies demonstrate that nonlinearity plays an important role in supernova stars and in the early Universe, where matter density is enormous [28,31–33]. The linear supermodes $|\psi_+\rangle, |\psi_-\rangle$ of Eqs. (5) and (6) (calculated by setting $\gamma = 0$) represent the instantaneous mass neutrino eigenstates in matter [26], which can be expressed as linear superpositions of the mass eigenstates $|M_a\rangle, |M_b\rangle$, i.e., the linear modes of Eqs. (5) and (6) in the uncoupled limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ (limit of noninteracting neutrinos, e.g., in vacuum):

$$|\psi_+\rangle = \sin \Theta |M_a\rangle - \cos \Theta |M_b\rangle,$$

$$|\psi_-\rangle = \cos \Theta |M_a\rangle + \sin \Theta |M_b\rangle,$$

where $\Theta = \tan^{-1}(2\epsilon/(m_a + m_b))/2$ is the mixing angle. Note that Eqs. (7) and (8) coincide with the neutrino mixing matrix in matter [26]. The supermodes $|\psi_+\rangle, |\psi_-\rangle$ can be calculated with the ansatz $A = A e^{iE_x t + ipx}, B = B e^{iE_y t + ipx}$, which yields the dispersion relation $E^2 = \kappa^2 p^2 + \epsilon^2 + (m_a^2 + m_b^2)/2 \pm (m_b - m_a) \sqrt{\epsilon^2 + (m_a + m_b)^2}/4$, plotted in Fig. 2(b). Curves with positive (negative) energies represent the instantaneous mass dispersion of neutrinos (antineutrinos). Note that the four dispersion curves of Fig. 2(b) basically reproduce the BWA dispersion relations of Fig. 2(a) near $q = \pi$.

**Neutrino oscillations.**—Equations (5) and (6), analogously to models routinely used in particle physics [26], describe neutrino oscillations in matter. Neutrinos are created in weak processes in their flavor eigenstates. As they propagate, the quantum mechanical phases of the mass states flow at different rates owing to the diverse neutrino masses. Analogously to Eqs. (5) and (6), also neutrino flavors can be expressed in terms of mass states through the PMNS matrix formulation of flavor mixing [35,36]. In turn, neutrino oscillations in vacuum are trivial, in the sense that they are simply given by the beating between mass states with different energies (propagation constants in our optical analogy). In matter, neutrino oscillations are due to electroweak interactions, which in our optical setup are accounted for by the coupling coefficient $\epsilon$. For instance, considering a neutrino that at $z = 0$ is in the electron flavor state, and initially neglecting nonlinear effects, the probability of measuring the neutrino with electron and muon flavors oscillates in $z$: $P(A) = \sin^2(2\Theta) \sin^2((E_+ - E_-)z/2)$, $P(B) = 1 - \sin^2(2\Theta) \sin^2((E_+ - E_-)z/2)$. In principle, the oscillation mixing angle results from the composition of the PMNS matrix angle and electroweak interactions, giving rise to peculiar phenomena such as the Mikheyev-Smirnov-Wolfenstein resonance [24]. However, in our calculations we have considered only the effect of electroweak interactions, accounted for by the mixing angle $\Theta$, focusing directly on the oscillations of the mass states. In massive supernova stars, matter density is so high that electroweak interactions become nonlinear, affecting neutrino oscillations. We have numerically solved Eqs. (5) and (6) for homogeneous waves with null transverse momentum using a fourth order Runge Kutta algorithm. Results of numerical
simulations for several values of the nonlinear coefficient $\gamma$ are shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b). The probabilities $P(A), P(B)$ of measuring neutrinos with flavors $A, B$ oscillate in $z$ both in the linear and nonlinear regimes. Note that the effect of nonlinearity on the amplitude and the period of the oscillations is nontrivial. Indeed, as the nonlinear coefficient $\gamma$ increases, the oscillation amplitude and period initially increase for $\gamma = 0.01$ [see the green and blue curves of Figs. 3(a) and 3(b)]. Conversely, oscillations are quenched for the higher nonlinear coefficient $\gamma = 0.1$ [see the red and green curves of Figs. 3(a) and 3(b)]. In order to grasp a better understanding of the nonlinear quenching of neutrino oscillations, we have calculated the homogeneous nonlinear mode families of Eqs. (5) and (6) (nonlinear neutrino states not undergoing oscillation) by using the Newton-Raphson method. We focused our attention on nonlinear modes with null transverse momentum, and numerically found several neutrino families, which are depicted in Fig. 4. The families $NL.1, NL.2$ bifurcate from the linear antineutrino bands with negative energy, while other families represent neutrinos with positive energies. The nonlinear families $NL.3, NL.4$ exist for any neutrino amplitude as they bifurcate from the linear neutrino bands, while the switching families $SW.A, SW.B$ ensue after a certain power threshold. In turn, the nonlinear quenching of neutrino oscillations comes from the excitation of the switching families $SW.A, SW.B$, which arise when nonlinearity is sufficiently large.

**Neutrino solitons.**—Owing to nonlinear electroweak interactions, another class of neutrino fields homotopically distinct from homogeneous ones exists, i.e., solitons arising from the condensation of neutrinos and antineutrinos. We have numerically solved Eqs. (3) and (4) by taking the ansatz $a_n(z) = A(n)e^{iEz}, b_n(z) = B(n)e^{iEz}$ and using the Newton-Raphson algorithm to find the soliton spinor profiles $A(n), B(n)$. We have found several families of neutrino solitons within the energy band gap [see Fig. 2(b)].

High-order solitons are cut off when the energy band gap is sufficiently small. In Fig. 5 we plot the fundamental soliton profiles with null energy $E = 0$ of the (a) upper ($|a_n|$) and (b) lower ($|b_n|$) BWAs. Blue (red) markers depict the amplitude of odd (even) waveguide sites, related to the spinor component $A_2 (A_1)$ in the continuous limit. In our calculations we have used the parameters $\kappa = 1, \epsilon = 0.01, m_a = 0.1, m_b = 0.12, \gamma = 1$.

**Conclusions.**—In conclusion, we have shown that the rich physics of neutrino oscillations, including extreme regimes predicted in massive supernova stars, can be simulated in a tabletop optical system based on pairs of vertically displaced binary waveguide arrays. In this system light transport is described by nonlinear $(1 + 1)D$ Dirac equations for half-spin particles with two mass states, analogously to neutrinos. In the linear regime, our optical system exactly reproduces all the features of neutrino oscillations, including the Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata matrix formulation and the matter mixing matrix. We predict the quenching of neutrino oscillations when electroweak interactions are sufficiently strong to become nonlinear, e.g., in the early Universe and in massive supernova stars. Besides, we predict the condensation of neutrinos and antineutrinos in soliton pairs with energy within the linear band gap. Our results show that optical waveguide arrays can provide an experimentally accessible laboratory tool for the observation of unconventional effects and extreme regimes in particle physics and astrophysics that are still outside of any experimental demonstration.
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