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Abstract
We have developed a method and system that uses mutually agreed problem structuring and self-selection to bring together meeting attendees with complementary interests. The method builds upon previous tools which facilitate structuring and to these we have added method and assistive technology that both facilitates selection, and that records and displays ‘connections’ in real-time. We have developed these methods over a number of major networking events and discovered that participants both enjoyed this targeted approach and found that it produced more effective collaboration opportunities.
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Introduction
Collaboration and innovation are the life blood of science. However, connecting with suitable collaborators whose interests are complimentary to your own is difficult (Figure 1). Existing techniques include exhaustive methods such as speed dating,
groups. (One group is enlarged).

Before a submission and sorting by attendees which leads to effective networking.

The sree map of ideas envisaged by all attendees (submitted ideas addressing a given problem, Figure 3) was deployed with a prototype visualization tool (Well-Connected) and the initial feedback from users. We conclude with how we will demonstrate this at CSCW.

Our Approach

Figure 2 outlines the approach detailed below.

Structuring, Leading to Groups
Remotely, prior to a meeting, attendees submit ideas addressing a given problem, then group all the submitted ideas. This is done with an online tool [3] (www.well-sorted.org) producing a structure ‘owned’ by all attendees e.g. Figure 3. Attendees choose a group to identify with on arrival at the meeting. This results in groups of attendees who share common interests.

Summary, Enabling Selection.
Group members discuss the ideas in their chosen group and present a 60 second summary (or elevator pitch) of their area to the meeting. Each attendee will have envisaged the interests their ideal complementary collaborator would have. These summaries enable attendees to identify which group(s) to target during networking to find someone with those interests.

Networking
Attendees are assigned the task of approaching a member of their target group to discuss areas of mutual interest and how they might collaborate. Each round is time limited and attendees note connections.

Visualization
After each round of networking, details of connections are entered into a visualization (based on the groups from the structuring phase). Projecting this visualization exploits group awareness [2] to further motivate productive networking.

Documentation
Records of structure, presentations, and networking allow attendees and other interested parties to refer to the meeting’s activities afterwards.

Development of the Approach

The pre-meeting group structuring system [3] was developed and applied over a number of meetings. The networking method and visualization was then developed in three stages described below.

Inter-Group Networking Task
We introduced a simple task to encourage networking across groups (Figure 4). Facilitators noted that participants were more purposeful than in unstructured sessions and that attendees raised no objection to the idea of making a simple record of the networking.

Visualization Pilot Using Pen and Paper
We took this practice further in a meeting of network leaders. Each attendee undertook several rounds of inter-group networking as described above while keeping a record of each contact on a form which was pre-printed with the meeting structure. Using colored pens, the connections were collated from the forms...
onto a large (A0) diagram (Figure 5). This was shared with the meeting, stimulating discussion about the interconnectedness of the ideas and scope for collaboration. A major disadvantage of this method, however, was time pressure for facilitators collating the diagram during the meeting. Additionally transcription errors occurred between forms and diagram.

A Visualization Prototype
To automate the creation of the diagram, a prototype application was built (Figure 6) with these features:

- Layout imported from the meeting structure.
- Minimal data entry effort. Details of the ideas are imported from the meeting structure.
- Connections displayed as lines between ideas with information about the people involved attached.
- Interactivity allows display of: all connections at once, by group, or by individual idea.
- Updates in real time as connections are entered.
- Can be viewed and shared later as a permanent record of the networking which occurred.

Deployment at a structured meeting
We deployed the prototype networking visualization tool at a funding body sponsored meeting of faculty level researchers from ICT and the humanities. Beforehand, the structure for the meeting was generated by the attendees using the meeting tools. Attendees discussed in their breakout groups and the meeting came together for the summary session to see the 60-second group presentations. We then gave attendees networking record cards and set the intergroup networking task (Figures 4 and 7). The visualization tool (Figure 6) is a web application and, after each networking round, attendees either entered the details of their connection into the system using the iPads and laptops provided, or handed their card to a facilitator. During the session, displayed on a projector, the visualization gradually filled up with connections (Figure 8). An accompanying table which detailed the connections also built up (beneath the visualization). The full visualization was shared at a plenary session which included representatives of funding bodies. The visualization with its connections table formed part of the meeting record along with the pre-meeting structure and the group presentations.

Figure 4: Inter-group networking task. Attendees identify with one of the groups and are tasked with having a conversation with someone from another group facilitated by colored name badges.

Figure 5: Top: Attendees engaged in the intergroup networking task and noting their connections on pre-printed forms. Bottom: The pilot visualization collated on paper from networking record forms.

Figure 6: Screen shot from the Well-Connected prototype networking visualization. Numbers around the circumference link to ideas submitted and sorted into groups pre-meeting by attendees. Colored lines record connections between attendees identifying with the ideas during networking.

Post Meeting Feedback from Attendees
After the meeting we sought feedback from participants using semi-structured, stimulated recall, interviews. The stimuli used were photos of the session and the Well-Connected network visualization. An interesting
finding was that the networking session had helped a participant connect with a suitable collaborator. They reported they had “collaborated with somebody on a [funding] bid. So it was very successful in that way... because I wouldn’t have talked to this person otherwise” [P2]. One theme indicated that group awareness had been achieved for some and this had motivated participants who might not otherwise have got involved to ensure they made connections and entered them into the record: “I think it gives you a more proactive engagement level.” And later, “I think you had less opting out...In a less proactive session, you may have a group of people who just chat... they don’t get engaged” [P1]. Another theme: “I felt more included... You could see your own ideas in the context of the whole meeting... That visualization...You see yourself...Are you an outlier? Are you part of that wide picture?... It gives you that sort of [paused]. It’s the opposite of sitting there and just consuming.” [P1].

Use of the visualization tool allowed the meeting documentation to include the record of the networking. Looking to the future, participants, when asked, did not object to having their full name noted in the connections record and were willing to have that hyperlinked to their personal URL: “I think it would be a benefit to me post meeting” [P1].

The Well-Connected Demo
To give CSCW attendees a taste of using Well-Connected we will populate the visualization with the CSCW 2016 demo and poster titles and abstracts. Prior to the demo reception, volunteers will sort these for us to produce groups in the same way that the ideas for a meeting would be grouped. At the demo reception attendees will be asked to identify with one of the demo/poster titles as an idea, suggest another that they think shares commonalities, enter this connection using the software, and also interact with the visualization. Attendees will see the accumulation of connections and we hope that authors of the demos/posters at the reception will be motivated to seek out and network with others in the room.

Conclusion
We have developed and successfully applied an approach in which pre-meeting structuring allows attendees to identify possible complementary collaborators. Visualization can then harness group awareness to further motivate individuals to take part in effective networking and to make those connections that lead to valued collaborations.
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