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Highlights

- A load sensor uses a single-mode-multimode-single-mode (SMS) fiber structure.
- The SMS structure is sandwiched between two CR-39 plastic polymer plates.
- A larger effective transverse strain can be achieved when the distance between the stage and the edge of the multimode fiber is larger.
- The SMS device is suitable for sensing a small load or transverse strain with a reasonably high sensitivity.

Abstract

A load sensor is demonstrated using a single-mode-multimode-single-mode (SMS) fiber structure, which is sandwiched between two CR-39 plastic polymer plates. A larger effective transverse strain can be achieved when the distance, $D_2$, between the stage and the edge of the multimode fiber is larger. A higher sensitivity is obtained when $D_2 = 7$ cm with a value of $-0.0102$ nm/mN, as compared to $-0.0027$ nm/mN when $D_2 = 3$ cm. In contrast, an FBG integrated in a similar manner has shown an indiscernible change in the wavelength shift as compared to that produced by the SMS device. The result indicates that the proposed SMS device is suitable for sensing a small load or transverse strain with a reasonably high sensitivity.
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Introduction

Optical fiber sensors have been widely used in measuring various physical, chemical, and even biological parameters, as they are compact, responsive, sensitive, stable and resistant to electromagnetic interference [1-2]. They have also been recommended for applications in areas such as structural monitoring of buildings [3], estimation of metal surface roughness [4], vibration tests [5], determination of the thickness of a transparent plate [6], etc. A fiber Bragg grating (FBG) based optical sensor is widely used and by far is the most common type of fiber sensors [7-8]. However, it suffers from a narrow measurement range especially when used for strain sensing, and consequently requires a mechanical arrangement to improve the measurement range and a complex interrogation system to achieve a high wavelength resolution. A single mode–multimode–single mode (SMS) fiber structure has also been proposed as a strain sensor as it generates a sufficient bandpass spectral response for a given wavelength range [9-10]. It can be used as either a stand-alone sensor or an edge filter that interrogates an optical sensor such as an FBG. Since an SMS fiber structure is much easier to fabricate than an FBG, a sensor based on an SMS fiber structure will be more economic than the one based on an FBG. In the past, the SMS fiber based sensor has been exploited in various applications such as displacement [11], pressure [12] and temperature sensors [13-14].

A straight SMS fiber structure can be used as a load sensor, but just like an FBG sensor, a straight SMS structure suffers from a narrow measurement range, due to the limited strain that can be applied to avoid breaking it. In this paper, we propose to use a bent SMS fiber
structure to measure load or strain. This technique offers the advantages of a much simpler configuration, ease of fabrication, wide strain measurement range up to 2800 με [15] and high resolution.

Experimental arrangement

In recent years, in-line fiber-optic Fabry-Perot interferometers (FPIs) have received much attention for a wide range of applications. Fabricating an in-line fiber optic FPI requires the formation of two parallel separated mirrors to partially reflect the input optical signals into different optical paths. Numerous techniques have been employed to form the mirrors in the SMF, such as coating the end of the fiber [16], using offset structures [17], forming a micro-notch by use of femtosecond lasers [18], using chemical etching [19], splicing [20], etc. Since the two beams reflected by the mirrors have an optical path difference (OPD), the relative phase difference of the two beams could be described by:

$$\phi_{FPI} = \frac{4\pi n l}{\lambda}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where \(\lambda\) is the input wavelength, \(n\) is the refractive index (RI) of FPI cavity, and \(L\) is the length of the FPI cavity. When a perturbation is applied to the FPI, the phase difference \(\phi_{FPI}\) between the two beams will be influenced because the cavity length increases. The change of \(\phi_{FPI}\) contributes to the interference shifts, which allows the FPI to be used for temperature or strain sensing.
Fig. 1: Schematic diagram of the proposed load sensor experimental setup utilizing an SMS fiber structure. Inset shows the photo-image of the SMS structure.
In this work, we propose a specially designed SMS fiber structure as an FPI sensor. Fig. 1 shows a schematic diagram of a straight SMS fiber structure, which is sandwiched between two CR-39 plastic polymer plates with a thickness of 0.05 cm, width of 4 cm and length of 17.4 cm. Epoxy is used to integrate the device. The MMF has a step-index profile with a core diameter of 50 \( \mu \text{m} \) and length of 9.3 cm. It is fusion spliced with the single mode fiber (SMF-28) with a splicing loss of less than 0.1 dB to form the SMS structure. The device is clamped between two stages as shown where the distance between the stage and multimode input endpoint is labelled as \( D_2 \). It is noted that, the edge of the clamping stage is set within the multimode fiber region as shown in the schematic.

Light from an Erbium amplified spontaneous emission (ASE) source centered around 1550 nm is launched into the SMS structure. The light injected into the MMF from a SMF will excite multiple modes propagating in the MMF. The output spectrum measured at room temperature using an optical spectrum analyser (OSA) at a resolution of 0.05 nm is shown in Fig. 2, at zero loading and \( D_2 = 7 \) cm. For a straight fiber, the refractive index along the propagation direction is symmetrically distributed. The SMS fiber structure has a bandpass spectral response for the wavelength range shown in Fig. 2. The bandpass response is a result of multimode interference and recoupling within the SMS fiber structure. As observed, the comb spectrum obtained has a fixed peak to peak spacing of about 11 nm. The interference spectrum changes when strain is applied on the multimode fiber.
Fig. 2: Output spectrum from a straight SMS fiber structure.

Result and discussion

In order to investigate the effect of transverse load on the SMS structure, a loading fixture is used as shown in Fig. 1. This fixture is designed to create a uniform state of plane strain on the fiber core in the vicinity of the MMF. Load is applied to the structure by hanging weights at the end of a load arm with $D_1 = 4.5$ cm. The test procedure for the experiments is as follows. The SMS structure is placed in the fixture with $D_2 = 7$ cm, and measurements of the wavelengths of the interference peaks are taken for unloaded condition. The load on the fiber is then incrementally increased up to 262.8 mN and the center wavelengths of the interference peaks are recorded at each load value. The fiber is then unloaded and the tests are repeated at $D_2 = 3$ cm. When transverse strain or load is applied to a straight SMS fiber structure, the MMF length changes causing the phase differences between these multiple modes and subsequently the spectral response of the structure to change as well. The measured spectral response of the SMS fiber structure at $D_2 = 7$ cm and $D_2 = 3$ cm are shown in Figs. 3 and 4 respectively, for various values of transverse strain or loads. In the experiment, the measurements were taken at a span of 20 nm and resolution of 0.05 nm. As shown in both figures, both peak wavelength and bandwidth of the interference comb change as the load increases.
Fig. 3: The measured spectral response from the SMS structure at different load values at $D_2$

$= 7 \text{ cm}$
Fig. 4: The measured spectral response from the SMS structure at different load values at $D_2 = 3$ cm

For a bent MMF, the refractive index distribution is no longer symmetric and must be defined by an equivalent refractive index distribution as follows [21]:

$$n = n_0 \left( 1 + \frac{x}{R_{\text{eff}}} \right),$$

(2)

where $n_0(x, y)$ is the refractive index of the straight fiber and $R_{\text{eff}}$ is the equivalent bent radius which can be expressed as follows [21]:

$$R_{\text{eff}} = \frac{R}{1 - \frac{n_0^2}{\mu} \left( P_{11} - \nu (P_{12} + P_{11}) \right)},$$

(3)

where $R$ is the bent radius of the fiber, $\nu$ is the Poisson ratio and $P_{11}$ and $P_{12}$ are components of the photo-elastic tensor. Eq. (3) shows that the field distribution in the bent MMF portion is asymmetric since the bent MMF effectively has an asymmetric refractive index distribution as illustrated in Eq. (2). The bend in the MMF section has a significant influence on the mode distribution in the SMS fiber structure, which in turn will have a profound effect on the overall transmission characteristics of the SMS structure as shown in Figs. 3 and 4. It can be inferred from both figures that the peak 3dB bandwidth increases while the peak wavelength of the interference comb spectrum shifts to a shorter wavelength as the load grows. In addition the peak power also increases with load increment.

The relation between the peak of interference wavelength and the amount of load at two different $D_2$ distances is illustrated in Fig. 5. As shown in the figure, the peak wavelength linearly shifts to a shorter wavelength with load increment. The slopes of the variation are
obtained at -0.0102 nm / mN and -0.0027 nm/mN for D_2 = 7 cm and D_2 = 3 cm, respectively. This shows that the sensor sensitivity increases as the distance between the load and the edge of the clamped stage increases. The lower slope achieved when D_2 = 3 cm, shows that the effective transverse strain applied on MMF is smaller compared to when D_2 = 7 cm. This is attributed to the increased equivalent bending radius, which in turn changes the mode distribution, phase shift and reduces the equivalent refractive index of the MMF as indicated in Eqs. 3, 2 and 1, respectively. Hence, smaller change of MMF equivalent refractive index is achieved when D_2 = 3 cm which leads to lower sensitivity. The 3 dB bandwidth of the output spectral against the load at two different D_2 distances is shown in Fig. 6 where the 3 dB bandwidth increases linearly with the load for both curves. The slopes of the graph are 0.0085 nm/mN and 0.0024 nm/mN for D_2 = 7 cm and 3 cm respectively. The 3 dB bandwidth change is more pronounced for higher D_2 due to the increased phase shift. The highest value of D_2 is limited by the length of the plastic polymer in conjunction with how much it can securely clamp to the stage as shown in Fig. 1. To securely clamp the plastic polymer plate, it is advice that at least half of the stage distance is clamping the plate.

\[ y = -0.0027x + 1533.9 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.9856 \]

\[ y = -0.0102x + 1534.6 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.9958 \]
Fig 5: Central peak wavelength of the interference spectrum against the amount of load for two different $D_2$ values.

![Graph showing central peak wavelength vs load for two $D_2$ values.]
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Fig 6: 3 dB bandwidth of the interference spectrum against the amount of load for two different $D_2$ values.

A similar test on an FBG based sensor using the same setup as shown in Fig 1 is performed for comparison purpose. The FBG is placed between the same CR-39 plastic polymer plates of the same dimension. However, the edge of the stage is fixed at the center of the FBG, with $D_2 = 7$ cm, $D_1 = 4.5$ cm (the center point of load to the edge of the FBG). The FBG has a bandwidth of 0.173 nm, length of 2 cm, and reflectivity of 99.97%. Fig 7 shows the output transmission spectrum measured using OSA at the smallest span setting of 0.5 nm and resolution of 0.05 nm at different loads. It can be inferred that there is hardly any change in the center wavelength even when load of 262.8 mN is applied.
A center wavelength of 1546.961 nm and 1546.966 nm are observed at zero load and 262.8 mN load respectively. This gives a wavelength shift of merely 0.005 nm at maximum load. This change of wavelength is too small for practical load sensing since the signal can be corrupted by noise from the instability of ASE source and ambient temperature. In contrast, for the SMS structure, at $D_2 = 7$ cm, the wavelength shift of 2.64 nm is obtained at maximum load. This shows that the SMS device has a higher sensitivity than the FBG.

**Conclusion**

In this paper, the performance of a load sensor that uses an SMS fiber structure integrated between two plates of CR-39 plastic polymer and clamped onto a stage is evaluated. It is found that, a larger effective transverse strain can be obtained when the distance, $D_2$ between the edge of the multimode fiber and the edge of the stage is larger. A slope of $-0.0102$ nm/mN and -
0.0027 nm/mN are obtained for $D_2 = 7$ cm and $3$ cm respectively; where higher sensitivity is achieved when $D_2 = 7$ cm. Moreover, it is found that an FBG sensor integrated and tested in a similar manner shows lower sensitivity. In short, the SMS device is shown to be a better load sensor where small load or strain is concerned.
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